

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,464	07/31/2003	Brent A. Detering	EGG-PI-413 RE/RE	7420
7590 05/22/2006			EXAMINER	
Stephen R Christian			KASTLER, SCOTT R	
Bechtel BWXT	' Idaho LLC			
PO Box 1625			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3899			1742	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,464	DETERING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Kastler	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 I	<u>May 2006</u> .					
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 18-73</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 18-73</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/2006</u> .	6) Other:	,,,				

Application/Control Number: 10/633,464

Art Unit: 1742

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/3/2006 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The new Information Disclosure Statement filed by applicant on 5/3/2006 has been received and reviewed.

Reissue Declaration

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the errors which are relied upon to support the reissue application are not errors upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. The errors relied upon in the reissue declaration (amending the claims merely to improve antecedent basis and/or correct textual errors without affecting the scope of subject matter of the claims) are errors which do not affect the scope of the patent claims and could have been corrected by a certificate of correction. See MPEP 1481 and 1485.

Art Unit: 1742

Claims 1-14 and 18-73 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 5/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the newly filed reissue declaration of 5/3/2006 specifies errors correctable by a reissue application since antecedent basis errors have been found to be properly correctable by a reissue application is not persuasive. As stated in the above rejection, in order to be an error properly correctable by reissue, the error must render the claims or specification inoperative or invalid. In the instant case, the corrections made by the applicant, as admitted by the applicant do not affect the scope of the claims, and are seen to be of an editorial nature, correctable more properly by the submission of a Certificates of Correction. Applicant's reliance on an antecedent basis error is not persuasive because while the errors relied upon, if corrected would render the claims more clear, the scope of the claims is still ascertainable without such correction to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and along with the applicant's statement that the scope of the claims has not been altered, any errors corrected by the applicant's pre-amendment filed on 7/31/2003 or amendment filed on 5/3/2006 are seen the be of an editorial nature, not affecting the scope of the claims and more properly corrected through the use of a Certificates or Correction.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,464

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

Applicant is reminded of the continuing obligation under 37 CFR 1.178(b), to timely apprise the Office of any prior or concurrent proceeding in which Patent Nos. RE 37,853 and 5,749,937 is or was involved. These proceedings would include interferences, reissues, reexaminations, and litigation.

Applicant is further reminded of the continuing obligation under 37 CFR 1.56, to timely apprise the Office of any information which is material to patentability of the claims under consideration in this reissue application.

These obligations rest with each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of this application for reissue. See also MPEP §§ 1404, 1442.01 and 1442.04.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742